

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/787,045	02/25/2004	John Hatlestad	279.B27US1	4409	
21186 SCHWEGMA	7590 08/28/200 N. LUNDBERG & WO	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 2938			RANGREJ, SHEETAL		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/787,045	HATLESTAD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
SHEETAL R. RANGREJ	3626		

	SHEETAL R. RANGREJ	3626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailling date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	ED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date to have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sis set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office error may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the second se	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov 		be entered and an ex	planation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14 and 16-28.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (I 3. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/C Luke Gilligan/	/Sheetal R. Rangrei/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3626	Examiner, Art Unit 3626						

Continuation of 13. Other: The amendments to the claims presented in the reply filed August 11, 2008 specifying claims 1 and 9 require further consideration of the prior an and/or further search. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Ellinwood, Jr. does not teach "an implantable device configured to electrically monitor fulid retention." Applicant further states that monitoring fulid retention is not a chemical property as described in Ellinwood, Jr. as being monitored. Examiner disagrees and provides support with the combination of Yarin ellinwood, Jr. addition, Applicant substantially rehashes the remarks/arguments presented in the previous response. Since the amendment After Final has not been entered, the remarks/arguments with respect to these changes are currently moot. In response to the remaining remarks/arguments, the Examiner incorporates the response to the remarks/arguments presented in the previous Office Action, mailed June 11, 2008.